Application No. 09/892,485 Applicant(s)

Ishihara

Interview Summary

Examiner

Art Unit

	Arun Chakiabaru	1057	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO p	personnel):		
(1) Arun Chakrabarti	(3)		
(2) Thomas Cunnigham	(4)		
Date of Interview Sep 16, 2002			
Type: a) $\boxtimes$ Telephonic b) $\square$ Video Conference c) $\square$ Personal [copy is given to 1) $\square$ applicant	2J□ applicant's representative	J	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) \( \subseteq \text{Yes} \)	e)⊠ No. If yes, brief descripti	on:	
		***	
Claim(s) discussed: All	A		
Identification of prior art discussed:			
All			*
Agreement with respect to the claims $f \mid \square$ was reached.	g∫□ was not reached. hjX	N/A.	
and the gene expression for genes and defertion of differ Juilly be considered favorably and Search is noversary.	lvised to ame 102(e) rejection cant was advised (not genetically engine term (i.e., expression ent genes theretro the towever, further	based on based on to ame neered) in of diff	claims, Lonial  ul the invention execut amondment
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no day available, a summary thereof must be attached.)			
i) 🛮 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ	ate record of the substance of t	he interview (if b	ox is checked).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORM. INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MP. already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROSUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record	EP section 713.04). If a reply to OM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO I	the last Office a FILE A STATEME	action has NT OF THE
			1

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required